

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

KAREN SAGASTUME,
Plaintiff,

v.

WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS OF
NEVADA, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-00997-RFB-BNW

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 45) of the Honorable Brenda N. Weksler, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on June 13, 2024. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by June 27, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

///

///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 45) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** for failure to comply with the Court's Order (ECF No. 44) and for failure to prosecute.

The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

DATED: October 15, 2024



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE